

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/323,230	06/01/1999	YASUNORI UETANI	2185-0343P	8929	
2292	7590 05/08/2002				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			CHU, JOHN S Y		
FALLS CHUR	RCH, VA 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1752	17	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		0	h= 17		
· · · ·	Application I	Applicant(s)	,		
Advisory Action	09/323,230	TAKATA ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examin r	Art Unit			
	John S. Chu	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same application and the same application and the same application are same application and the same application and the same application are same application a	cation. A proper repich places the application	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · ·	•	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 6-9</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)∏ approved or b)∏ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:		John S. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752			

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claim 1 recites a scope which was not considered at the time the Final rejection was made. Secondly newly amended claim 1 recites new matter having no support in the specification at page 6, lines 13-15. The new scope recites the presence of an o-quinonediazide sulfonic acid ester or a compound having a phenolic hydroxyl group in the alternative one or two photosensitive compounds, while the specification supports an o-quinonediazide sulfonic acid ester of a compound having a phenolic hydroxyl group alone as a single photosensitive ingredient.